

An architectural rendering of a park plaza. A large, multi-trunked tree with dense green foliage dominates the left side of the frame. In the center and right, several people are sitting on orange chairs and a white bench, enjoying the view. A white building with a red roof is visible on the right. The background shows a body of water and distant mountains under a blue sky with scattered clouds. The text "ATTACHMENT 7 ERRATA" is overlaid in the center.

ATTACHMENT 7 ERRATA



# ERRATA

This attachment presents staff-initiated text changes for the Presidio Tunnel Tops Environmental Assessment. The text changes reflect revisions made in response to public and agency comments that were received subsequent to the October 28, 2015 publication of the EA. The revisions are organized by EA section. Existing text (no proposed changes) is *italicized*, deleted text is ~~struck through~~ and new text is color coded and underlined. The text additions and revisions clarify and expand the information presented in the EA and Responses to Comments (Attachment 6). The revised text does not provide new information that changes the project or the determination of any environmental impacts, but rather clarifies, updates and provides additional relevant information to support the administrative record.

## GLOBAL

Replace New Presidio Parklands with Presidio Tunnel Tops.

## COVER SHEET

Replace entire cover sheet with new cover sheet.

7-1

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Replace entire table of contents with new table of contents.

## SECTION 3 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED PROJECT AND ALTERNATIVES

Alternatives Considered but Rejected, Expand Crissy Marsh Alternative, page 28 – Change the first paragraph as follows:

*This alternative was eliminated from further study because marsh expansion in the project site would severely limit the area available for educational uses associated with the Crissy Field Center and Learning Landscape. Expanding these facilities so that would allow the number of youth educated on the site can Youth Campus to be increased from the pre-Presidio Parkway 23,000 per year to between 50,000 and 60,000 per year is a key goal of the proposed project, which supports a broader Trust the project goal of serving every child in San Francisco to provide exceptional environmental learning opportunities. Reaching these this goals requires new educational facilities and outdoor learning environments that fill the entire project site Youth Campus.*

## SECTION 4 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

Mitigation Commitments, Cultural Resources, page 39 – After Visitation bullet, insert new heading and arrow point:

### Cultural Resources

- ▶ The Trust will ensure that new designs are compatible with adjacent historic features and preserve or enhance historic views.

Mitigation Commitments, Biological Resources, page 40 – Insert second arrow point:

- ▶ The Trust will use best practices to prevent the introduction and spread of pathogens and invasive plants.

Parking, Table 8, Comparison of Main Post Parking Demand and Supply by Alternative, page 60 – Change the table title as follows:

*8 Comparison of ~~Main Post~~ Parking Demand and Supply by Alternative*

Visitation, Crissy Field, page 61 – Add the reference to the Crissy Refresh Study and the correct reference to the America’s Cup EA to the end of the last two sentences in the first paragraph as follows:

*Crissy Field has more visitors than it was originally designed to accommodate ([Golden Gate National Parks Conservancy 2014](#)). Access is difficult on weekends when there is exceptional weather and special events. However, on most existing weekdays and weekends, there is zero to minor crowding (NPS [and U.S. Coast Guard 2012](#)).*

Cultural Resources, NHLD Contributors within the Project Site, page 70 – Replace the run-on sentence after the heading with the following:

*Building 603 is the only NHLD-contributing buildings within the project site include Buildings 210, 603 and 201.*

Biological Resources, Vegetation Communities and Wildlife Resources within the Project Area, Coastal Salt Marsh, page 97 – Change the last sentence in the paragraph and add another sentence at the end as follows:

*The marsh is also frequented by a variety of fish species including but not limited to ~~leopard shark (Triakis semifasciata)~~, threespine stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*), Topsmelt ([Atherinops affinis](#)), [Pacific staghorn sculpin \(Leptocottus armatus\)](#), and a variety of gobies (Gobiidae)<sup>12</sup> [and on a few rare occasions, leopard shark \(Triakis semifasciata\)](#). The marsh also supports a variety of crustaceans and benthic invertebrates.*

<sup>12</sup> Note: does not include the federally-listed tide water goby (*Eucyclogobius newberryi*).

## SECTION 5 REFERENCES

Add the following references:

[Beason, Mark. 2015. Email sent to Robert Thomson. Subj: New Presidio Parklands Project – SHPO ref. # TPT 2014\\_0904\\_001. Dated May 4.](#)

[Golden Gate National Parks Conservancy. 2014. Crissy Refresh – A Study for Crissy Field’s Enhancement. Draft. Dated September 30.](#)

[International Dark Sky Association/Illuminating Engineering Society. 2011. Model Lighting Ordinance. Dated June 11.](#)

James Corner Field Operations (JCFO). 2017. Presidio Tunnel Tops 100% Design Development Submission – Building and Site: 100% DD Drawings, Specification, Basis of Design and O&M Report Submission. Dated February 17.

National Park Service (NPS). n.d. Consolidated Comments on the Second Consultation Package for the New Presidio Parklands Project. Golden Gate National Recreation Area and the Pacific West Regional Office.

. 2013. The Future of the Crissy Field Center Building 1199, PEPC 48537. Memorandum. Subject: NEPA/NHPA Project Review/5X Committee Recommendations. Dated August 26.

. 2016a. Letter from Chris Lehnertz, General Superintendent to John Pelka. Re: NPS/GGNRA Comments on New Presidio Parklands EA. Dated January 19.

. 2016b. Letter from Chris Lehnertz, General Superintendent to Michael Boland. Re: Joint Comments from the GGNRA and the Pacific West Regional Office on the Preliminary Finding of Effect in the Third Consultation Package for the New Presidio Parklands. Dated January 19.

. 2017. Letter from Cicely Muldoon, Acting General Superintendent to Jean Fraser. Re: Joint Comments from the GGNRA and the Pacific West Regional Office on the July 20, 2017 Consultation Package and September 15, 2017 Consultation Meeting for the Tunnel Tops Project. Dated October 11.

Office of Historic Preservation, Department of Parks and Recreation, State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) 2016a. Letter to Rob Thomson, Presidio Trust from Julianne Polanco. Re: New Presidio Parklands Project, Preliminary Finding of Effect and Supplemental Design Guidelines, Presidio of San Francisco National Historic Landmark District. Dated February 18.

. 2016b. Letter to Rob Thomson, Presidio Trust from Julianne Polanco. Re: Tunnel Tops Project (formerly known as New Presidio Parklands Project), Presidio of San Francisco National Historic Landmark District. Dated May 5.

. 2017a. Letter to Rob Thomson, Presidio Trust from Julianne Polanco. Re: Tunnel Tops Project, Presidio of San Francisco National Historic Landmark District. Dated January 4.

. 2017b. Letter to Rob Thomson, Presidio Trust from Julianne Polanco. Re: Tunnel Tops Project, Presidio of San Francisco National Historic Landmark District. Dated March 27.

. 2017c. Letter to Rob Thomson, Presidio Trust from Julianne Polanco. Re: Tunnel Tops Project, Presidio of San Francisco National Historic Landmark District. Dated February 7.

. 2017d. Letter to Rob Thomson, Presidio Trust from Julianne Polanco. Re: Tunnel Tops Project, Presidio of San Francisco National Historic Landmark District. Dated October 4.

Presidio Trust (Trust). 2015e. Notice of Availability / Invitation to Participate and Comment. New Presidio Parklands Project Environmental Assessment. Dated October 28.

. 2015f. Notice Extending Prescribed Comment Period. New Presidio Parklands Project Environmental Assessment. Dated November 4, 2015.

. 2017a. Phytophthora Management Guidelines. Dated January.

. 2017b. Consultation on Presidio Tunnel Tops – Conditional Finding of No Adverse Effect. Dated November 21.

## **ATTACHMENTS**

Attachment 1, Finding of No Significant Impact – Replace entire draft document with signed document.

Attachment 2, Finding of Effect – Replace Chapter 4 with revised chapter.

Attachment 3, Agency Correspondence – Add EA comment letters and key NHPA Section 106 Consultation emails/letters.

New Attachment 6, Responses to Comments Received on the New Presidio Parklands EA – Add responses to comments document.

New Attachment 7, Errata – Add errata sheets.

New Attachment 8, Mitigation Monitoring and Enforcement Program – Add mitigation monitoring and enforcement program.